

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,655	03/31/2004	Kenji Matsumoto	9319S-000710	5957
27572	7590 05/31/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			NGUYEN, HOANG V	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER N	
	·		2821	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H'		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Addison Commence	10/814,655	MATSUMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoang V. Nguyen	2821			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re bly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e. cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 'HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	3		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s)- <u>1-6-and 8</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been in the law (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) □ Intensiew St	ımmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/04.	5) Notice of Int 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application Number: 10/814,655

Art Unit: 2821

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites "a **second** lens with a **third** radio wave reflective characteristic" in line 6. The examiner is unclear whether applicants should refer the **second** lens with a **second** radio wave reflective characteristic or with a third-radio wave reflective characteristic. Examiner will examine the claim with the assumption that the **second** lens having a **second** radio wave reflective characteristic. Clarification/ correction required.

Claims 5-8 are rejected for depending on a rejected base claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Staney (US 5,512,913).

Application Number: 10/814,655

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 1, Staney (Figures 2, 3 & 7, col 6 line 53 through col 7 line 5) teaches an antenna device comprising a reflector 12; and a receiver 14 facing one side of the reflector, wherein the one side of the reflector is provided with a plurality of different lens shapes 102, 104, 106 and 108 selectively reflecting radio waves with particular frequency ranges to the receiver from among radio waves transmitted toward the reflector, the radio waves reflected by the plurality of different lens shapes including different frequency ranges.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, the antenna structure of Staney would enable the method of manufacturing comprising the steps as claimed.

Regarding claim 4, Staney (Figures 2, 3 & 7, col 6 line 53 through col 7 line 5) teaches an antenna device comprising a reflector 12; and a receiver 14 receiving reflected radio waves from one side of the reflector, wherein the one side of the reflector includes a plurality of different lens shapes 102, 104, 106 and 108, the plurality of lens including a first lens 102 with a first radio wave reflective characteristic and a second lens 104 with a second radio wave reflective characteristic, the first and second radio wave reflective characteristics being different to selectively reflect radio waves with particular frequency ranges to the receiver.

Regarding claim 5, as applied to claim 4, Figure 3c of Staney further teaches a third lens 106 having a third radio wave reflective characteristic which is different from the first and second radio wave reflective characteristics to selectively reflect radio waves with particular frequency ranges to the receiver.

Regarding claim 6, as applied to claim 5, Figure 3c of Staney further teaches a fourth lens 108 having a fourth radio wave reflective characteristic which is different from the first, second

Art Unit: 2821

and third radio wave reflective characteristics to selectively reflect radio waves with particular frequency ranges to the receiver.

Regarding claim 8, as applied to claim 6, Figure 7 of Staney shows that the first, second, third and fourth radio wave reflective characteristics are defined according to at least one of the diameter, depth, and cross-sectional profile of the first, second, third and fourth lenses.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Staney teaches an antenna device comprising a reflector; and a receiver receiving reflected radio waves from one side of the reflector, wherein the one side of the reflector includes a four different lens shapes with four different radio wave reflective characteristics.

Staney, however, fails to further teach the configuration of an array of each of the first, second, third and fourth lenses on the one side of the reflector.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Patent 5,606,334 discloses a reflector antenna having a multiple of different lens surfaces.

Art Unit: 2821

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1825. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoang Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hvn 5/26/05

HOANG V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER